

Study on General Combining Ability in F₁ and F₂ Generations of Winter Oilseed Rape Hybrids in Respect of Glucosinolate Content

Teresa PIETKA, Krystyna KROTKA, Jan KRZYMANSKI

Plant Breeding and Acclimatization Institute, Strzeszynska 36, 60-479 Poznan –
Poland

e-mail: krzym@nico.ihar.poznan.pl

Introduction

It is expected that qualitative requirements for double low oilseed rape will become more rigorous in the future. This will be important especially in reference, to glucosinolate content. Glucosinolates are the main antinutritive component of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) (Krzymański 1970).

In consequence of research and breeding works double low varieties of oilseed rape (Canola type) were obtained. Glucosinolate in rapeseed meal produced from seed of these varieties is low enough to obtain good body weight in animal production. Nevertheless enlarged thyroid gland and changes in its metabolism is usually observed. Therefore breeding for further elimination of glucosinolates from rapeseed is desired and purposeful. Quality requirements concerning antinutritive components by licensing new varieties are increasing around the world. It concerns not only traditional cultivars but also hybrids (Bartkowiak-Broda 1998; Heiman 1999; Friedt 1999).

Presented study is the continuation of research on glucosinolate inheritance in low glucosinolate winter oilseed rape. Earlier works concerning this matter used crossings between inbred lines in diallel design (Krzymański *et al.* 1993; 1994; 1995). This study showed, that it should be possible to produce high yielding winter oilseed rape varieties and hybrids with low glucosinolate content (Krzymański *et al.* 1995; 1998).

Recent study was undertaken in order to establish general combining abilities in

respect to glucosinolate content in seeds of F₁ and F₂ generation of hybrids between winter double low varieties and inbred lines with extremely low glucosinolate content. Crossings were performed in factor design.

Materials and methods

Hybrids were produced by crossing of double low varieties: Mar, Polo, Silvia, Lirajet and Wotan with inbred lines of extremely low glucosinolate content. Hybrids were grown in field trials. Harvested seeds were analysed for glucosinolate content and composition. Analyses were conducted using gasliquid chromatography of silylated desulfoglucosinolates (Michalski *et al.* 1995). Results were expressed in $\mu\text{mol/g}$ seeds. Calculations of general and specific combining abilities were performed in North Caroline II (NC II) design (Garretsen, Keuls 1978; Ubysz-Borucka 1985). GCA values and statistical tests of their significance were calculated separately for F₁ and F₂ generations and compared afterwards.

Discussion of results

Results shown in Table 1 were obtained in field trials with hybrids combinations inbred lines \times cultivars. Calculated F coefficients were extremely significant for maternal plants (inbred lines) and for pollinators (cultivars) as concerns contents of gluconapin, progoitrin and total alkenyl glucosinolates in F₁ and F₂ generations of hybrids. Differentiation of genotypes in respect to 4-hydroxybrassicin content was observed only for pollinator plants in F₂ generation of hybrids.

Table 1

Values of general combining ability for inbred lines and for varieties examined in F₁ and F₂ generations in respect of glucosinolates content

Line /variety/	Gluconapin		Glucobrassicinapin		Progoitrin		4-hydroxybrassicin		Total of glucosinolates		Total of aliphatic glucosinolates	
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₁	F ₂	F ₁	F ₂	F ₁	F ₂	F ₁	F ₂	F ₁	F ₂
Maternal plants												
PN-3181	-0,14	0,03	-	-0,06	-	-0,40	-0,44	0,17	-	-0,24	-	-0,39
			0,04*		0,60*				1,20*		0,79*	
PN-3451	0,22*	0,06	-0,02	0,01	0,04	-	0,27	0,04	0,49	-0,64	0,24	-0,65
						0,67*						
PN-3455	-	-	0,03	-0,04	0,05	-0,39	0,05	-0,09	-0,08	-0,86	-0,11	-0,75
	0,20*	0,24*										
PN-3462	0,06	-0,14	0,00	0,02	0,55*	0,21	0,01	-0,18	0,64	0,01	0,62*	0,15
					*						*	
PN-3707	-0,11	0,21	-	-0,01	0,10	0,85*	0,07	-0,20	-0,07	0,85	-0,11	1,06*
			0,08*			*						
PN-3710	0,08	-0,22	0,05*	-0,02	-0,04	-0,36	-	0,13	-0,60	-0,57	0,09	-0,68
			*				0,68*					
PN-3734	-	-	-0,02	-0,03	-	-	0,33	-0,21	-	-0,98	-	-0,80
	0,37*	0,26*			0,85*	0,63*			0,90*		1,25*	
	*				*						*	
PN-3999	-	0,12	-	0,04	-	0,30	0,06	0,36	-0,55	0,79	-	0,41
	0,23*		0,04*		0,34*						0,61*	
	*				*						*	
PN-4043	0,13	-0,05	0,16*	0,14*	-0,02	0,00	0,32	0,46	0,63	0,59	0,30	0,13
			*	*								
PN-4272	0,49*	0,35*	0,00	-0,03	1,15*	0,86*	0,41	-0,30	2,09*	0,88	1,68*	1,19*
	*	*			*	*			*		*	*
PN-4287	0,09	0,14	-0,05	0,00	-0,08	0,23	-0,44	-0,22	-0,46	0,16	-0,07	0,32
F	6,52*	2,56*	10,28	1,39	16,85	3,80*	1,74	0,72	4,38*	1,15	11,04	2,69*
	*	*	**		**	*			*		**	*

Pollinators												
Lirajet	-	-	-	-0,05	-	-	-0,11	-0,17	-	-	-	-
	0,23*	0,26*	0,02*		0,24*	0,44*			0,61*	1,00*	0,49*	0,80*
	*	*			*	*					*	*
Silvia	0,05	0,28*	0,05*	0,13*	0,13	0,55*	0,20	-0,21	0,46	0,82*	0,23	1,02*
		*	*	*		*						*
Wotan	-	-	0,07*	-	-0,03	-	-	-	-0,52	-	-0,10	-
	0,12*	0,40*	*	0,06*		0,59*	0,41*	0,44*		1,45*		1,01*
		*				*				*		*
Mar	-0,01	0,07	-	-0,02	-	-0,18	0,14	0,62*	-0,13	0,51	-	-0,13
			0,06*		0,24*			*			0,28*	
			*		*							
Polo	0,32*	0,31*	-	0,00	0,38*	0,66*	0,18	0,19	0,81*	1,12*	0,64*	0,92*
	*	*	0,04*		*	*			*	*	*	*
			*									
F	10,51	14,42	16,72	6,24*	9,02*	9,38*	1,98	4,13*	4,29*	6,70*	8,14*	10,38
	**	**	**	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	**

* — significant at the level $\alpha = 0.05$

** — significant at the level $\alpha = 0.01$

Results obtained for reciprocal crossings: cultivars \times inbred lines are shown in Table 2. For maternal plants in F₁ generation calculated F coefficients were significant for glucobrassicinapin contents. In F₂ generation for this group of plants GCA effects were extremely significant in respect to gluconapin, glucobrassicinapin, progoitrin, total of glucosinolates and total of alkenyl glucosinolates and significant for 4-hydroxybrassicin. For pollinators extremely significant GCA effects were observed for gluconapin, progoitrin, total of glucosinolates and total of alkenyl glucosinolates in F₁ and F₂ generations of hybrids.

Table 2

Values of general combining ability for cultivars and for inbred lines examined in F₁ and F₂ generations in respect of glucosinolates content

Line /variety/	Gluconapin		Glucobrassicinapin		Progoitrin		4-hydroxybrassicin		Total of glucosinolates		Total of aliphatic glucosinolates	
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₁	F ₂	F ₁	F ₂	F ₁	F ₂	F ₁	F ₂	F ₁	F ₂
Maternal plants												
Lirajet	0,06	- 0,11*	0,04* *	-0,02	0,10	-0,20	0,03	-0,25	0,18	-0,55	0,20	-0,28
Silvia	0,07	0,23* *	0,05* *	0,13* *	-0,02	0,41* *	0,07	0,25	0,23	1,06* *	0,10	0,79* *
Wotan	- 0,19* *	- 0,29* *	0,00	- 0,05* *	0,01	- 0,43* *	-	- 0,29* *	- 0,53* *	- 0,49* *	-0,16	- 0,80* *
Mar	0,10	0,10	-0,01	0,00	-0,01	0,17	0,02	0,26	0,12	0,59	0,09	0,28
Polo	-0,04	0,07	- 0,09* *	- 0,06* *	0,09	0,04	0,18	0,27	-0,04	0,26	-0,22	0,01
F	2,14	10,21 **	10,66 **	16,75 **	0,26	5,72* *	2,01	3,15*	1,30	8,33* *	0,78	7,50* *
Pollinators												
PN-3181	0,17	0,18* *	0,02	0,00	-0,05	0,03	0,04	-0,23	0,20	-0,05	0,15	0,19
PN-3451	0,07	0,11	-0,02	0,04	- 0,37* *	-0,27	0,03	-0,02	-0,28	-0,18	-0,35	-0,18
PN-3455	-0,19	0,15	-0,01	0,02	-0,07	0,38	- 0,41* *	-0,01	- 0,74* *	0,50	-0,27	0,55
PN-3462	0,13	0,19* *	-0,01	0,01	0,47* *	0,68* *	0,18	0,59* *	0,77* *	1,45* *	0,57	0,84* *
PN-3707	- 0,26* *	- 0,27* *	-0,05	- 0,06* *	0,25	0,16	-0,02	-0,04	-0,16	-0,26	-0,07	-0,18
PN-3710	-0,01	0,06	0,02	0,02	0,15	-0,16	0,00	0,06	0,13	-0,12	0,14	-0,15
PN-3734	-0,19	- 0,29* *	0,02	-0,01	- 0,42* *	- 0,61* *	-0,01	-0,04	-0,62	- 0,99* *	- 0,61* *	- 0,95* *

PN-3999	- 0,27*	-0,04	-0,02	0,02	- 0,57*	-0,25	- 0,38*	-0,38	- 1,24*	-0,61	- 0,86*	-0,23
PN-4043	- 0,26*	- 0,37*	0,02	0,00	- 0,56*	- 0,93*	0,13	0,29	-0,63	- 0,95*	- 0,79*	- 1,27*
PN-4272	0,82* *	0,48* *	0,06	-0,01	1,44* *	1,18* *	0,70* *	-0,28	3,07* *	1,53* *	2,37* *	1,78* *
PN-4287	-0,03	- 0,18*	0,01	-0,04	-0,26	-0,17	-0,27	0,03	-0,54	-0,34	-0,26	-0,36
F	6,93* *	7,36* *	1,40	1,14	9,07* *	8,35* *	2,75* *	0,75	9,18* *	2,96* *	8,35* *	6,78* *

* — significant at the level $\alpha = 0.05$

** — significant at the level $\alpha = 0.01$

Results of SCA calculations for both series of crosses showed, that some combinations which had positive SCA could change to negative values in F_2 generation (Table 3).

Coefficients of correlation calculated among GCA for investigated glucosinolates were compared separately for cultivars and inbred lines in F_1 and F_2 generations independently of the direction of crossing (Table 4). GCA values for total of alkenyl glucosinolates were significantly positively correlated with GCA for gluconapin, progoitrin and total glucosinolates for inbred line in F_1 and F_2 generation. Also GCA values for total glucosinolates were strongly positively correlated with GCA of gluconapin and progoitrin in both generations.

Correlation for general combining abilities of cultivars was less significant in F_1 generation. More significant correlations were observed in F_2 generation of hybrids.

Table 3

**Values of specific combining abilities in F₁ and F₂ generations of hybrids
in respect to glucosinolates content**

Trait	Field trial	Range		F calculated		LSD _{0,05}	
		F ₁	F ₂	F ₁	F ₂	F ₁	F ₂
Gluconapin	I	-0,63- 0,91	-0,80- 1,38	2,60**	2,67**	0,36	0,48
	II	-0,55- 0,64	-0,64- 0,92	1,60	4,11**	0,46	0,36
Glucobrassicana pin	I	-0,18- 0,43	-0,18- 0,35	4,68**	1,59	0,08	0,17
	II	-0,10- 0,16	-0,15- 0,28	1,83*	3,53**	0,10	0,10
Progoitrin	I	-1,10- 1,99	-2,28- 1,93	4,44**	2,43**	0,16	1,06
	II	-0,91- 1,02	-1,51- 1,71	1,50	2,94**	0,74	0,78
4- hydroxybrassicin	I	-1,30- 1,93	-1,00- 1,26	1,20	0,84	1,05	1,15
	II	-1,18- 1,06	-1,06- 1,51	2,23**	0,66	0,70	1,18
Total of glucosinolates	I	-2,04- 3,17	-3,75- 4,95	1,80*	1,72*	1,69	2,52
	II	-2,16- 2,73	-2,53- 3,18	1,96*	1,84*	1,46	1,88
Total of aliphatic glucosinolates	I	-1,69- 3,39	-3,31- 3,76	3,74**	2,50**	0,89	1,66
	II	-1,24- 1,66	-2,29- 2,37	1,60	3,00**	1,18	1,23

* — significant at the level $\alpha = 0.05$

** — significant at the level $\alpha = 0.01$

Field trail I — inbred lines × varieties

Field trail II — reciprocal crossing

Table 4

**Matrix of correlation coefficients between GCA of inbred lines (below diagonal)
and varieties (over diagonal)**

		1	2	3	4	5	6
Generation F₁							
1.	Gluconapin	1	-0,066	0,723*	0,657*	0,949**	0,895**
2.	Glucobrassicinapin	0,358	1	0,041	-0,438	-0,022	0,245
3.	Progoitrin	0,821**	0,204	1	0,335	0,762*	0,877**
4.	4-hydroxybrassicin	0,469*	0,271	0,491*	1	0,767**	0,395
5.	Total of glucosinolates	0,892**	0,355	0,928**	0,733**	1	0,890**
6.	Total of aliphatic glucosinolates	0,924**	0,333	0,974**	0,515*	0,960**	1
Generation F₂							
1.	Gluconapin	1	0,700*	0,961**	0,652*	0,984**	0,976**
2.	Glucobrassicinapin	0,171	1	0,733*	0,179	0,671*	0,794**
3.	Progoitrin	0,782**	0,057	1	0,457	0,921**	0,990**
4.	4-hydroxybrassicin	-0,179	0,383	-0,165	1	0,754*	0,495
5.	Total of glucosinolates	0,821**	0,280	0,923**	0,160	1	0,944**
6.	Total of aliphatic glucosinolates	0,881**	0,145	0,978**	-0,174	0,943**	1

Conclusions

- ◆ Calculated GCA values showed that both inbred lines and cultivars were highly and significantly differentiated in reference glucosinolate content and composition. So it makes possible further effective selection for still lower glucosinolate content.
- ◆ It is possible that obtained highly significant GCA for inbred lines are the result of intensive selection performed during several years for extremely low glucosinolate content and inbreeding connected with it. Cultivars were not so homozygous. They fulfill only the conditions necessary for double low standard and were more

differentiated internally.

- ◆ Possibilities of SCA use for improvement in glucosinolate content were much smaller. Only few combinations had SCA values significantly different from zero. For many hybrids positive SCA values in F_1 generation became changed to negative values in generation F_2 or inversely.
- ◆ Distinct effects of domination of higher glucosinolate content were not found in examined hybrids between lines and cultivars.
- ◆ It was ascertained, that the use of crossing between lines and cultivars could be profitable for larger genetical differentiation of breeding materials and is not accompanied by difficulties in selection for extremely low glucosinolates content in seeds.

References

- Bartkowiak-Broda I. 1998. Odmiany mieszańcowe rzepaku – osiągnięcia i perspektywy. (Rapeseed hybrid varieties - achievements and perspectives). *Rośliny Oleiste (Oilseed Crops)* XIX (2): 359-370.
- Garretsen F., Keuls M. 1978. A general method for the analysis of genetics variation of complete and incomplete diallels and North Carolina II design. Part II. Procedures and general formules for the fixed model. *Euphytica* 27: 49-68.
- Friedt W. 1999. Breeding of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) for modified seed quality - Synergy of conventional and modern approaches. 10th International Rapeseed Congress. Canberra 26-29.09.1999. Australia.
- Krzymański J. 1970. Genetyczne możliwości ulepszania składu chemicznego nasion rzepaku ozimego. (Genetic possibilities of improvement of chemical composition of winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L) seeds). *Hodowla Roślin Aklimatyzacja i Nasiennictwo* 14: 95-113.
- Krzymański J., Piętka T., Krótka K. 1994. Zdolność kombinacyjna i heterozja mieszańców diallelicznych rzepaku ozimego podwójnie ulepszanego. II. Pokolenie F_1 i F_2 . (Combining ability and heterosis in diallel crosses of double low winter oilseed rape. II. F_1 and F_2 generations). *Rośliny Oleiste (Oilseed Crops)* XV (1):21-32.
- Krzymański J., Piętka T., Krótka K., Michalski K. 1995. Zawartość glukozynolanów u mieszańców F_1 polskiego rzepaku ozimego podwójnie ulepszanego. (Glucosinolate content in F_1 hybrids of Polish double low winter oilseed rape). *Rośliny Oleiste (Oilseed Crops)* XVI (1):13-24.

- Michalski K., Kołodziej K., Krzymański J. 1995. Quantitative analysis of glucosinolates in seeds of oilseed rape. Effect of sample preparation on analysis results. Proceedings of 9th International Rapeseed Congress, Cambridge, UK, 4-7. 07.1995, 3: 911-913.
- Krzymański J., Piętka T., Krótka K., Michalski K. 1998. Relationship between seed yield and glucosinolate content in F₁ hybrid generation of double low winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). *Rośliny Oleiste (Oilseed Crops)* XIX (2): 389-398.
- Ubysz-Borucka L., Mądry W., Muszyński S. 1985. Podstawy statystyczne genetyki cech ilościowych w hodowli roślin (Statistic basis of quantitative trait genetics used in plant breeding). SGGW-AR Warszawa.