Effect of genotype and location on yield and seed quality of canola across western Canada over three years

<u>Alahakoon, U.I.^{1*}, Fekri, D.¹, Koscielny, C.B.² and Gardner, S.W.³</u>

¹Corteva agriscience, Saskatoon SK S7K 3J9. ²Corteva agriscience, Carman MB R0G 0J0. ³Corteva agriscience, Johnston IA 50131-7060. (*Corresponding author: ushanalahakoon@corteva.com)

Introduction

Selecting genotypes that are stable in yield and seed quality across a wide geography is key to the successful development of new canola (*Brassica napus* L.) cultivars for western Canada. A study was conducted to determine the effect of genotype and location on yield, oil, protein, glucosinolates and total saturates.

Materials and Methods

A total of 97, 112 and 162 genotypes were evaluated in 2016, 2017 and 2018 growing seasons, respectively, in Manitoba (MB), Saskatchewan (SK) and Alberta (AB) provinces in replicated trials. Genetic variance for each province, covariance of the genotypes between pairs of provinces, genetic correlation between pairs of provinces, and GxE variance among locations within each province for each trait were calculated with Factor Analytic (XFA) GxE model using ASREML. Entries with missing values were removed to meet <10% missing value threshold for each trait. Heatmaps (Fig 1.) were generated using R Studio[®].

Results and Discussion

A high genetic correlation was observed between pairs of provinces for all traits tested in 2016, 2017 and 2018. Lower genetic variance for yield was observed in SK in 2016 and 2017 however, in 2018 SK had the highest. Genetic variance for yield, protein and saturates was higher in 2018 compared to 2016 and 2017. Similar pattern was observed for covariance of genotypes between pairs of provinces for above traits (Fig 2.). For both SK and AB, GxE for yield was highest in 2018 (Fig 3.). This study indicates that province specific selections would be very similar to selections across all of western Canada. The data generated in this study will allow more focus on the number of high quality locations to use in future studies.



Fig 1. Heatmaps for Yield trait for 2016, 2017 and 2018 data across all locations.

	Correlation Covariance Variance																				
	Yield				Oil					Protein				Glucosinolates				Saturates			
		SK	AB	MB		SK	AB	MB		SK	ŀ	٩B	MB		SK	AB	MB		SK	AB	MB
	SK	2.03	0.96	0.73	SK	1.42	0.96	6 0.94	SK	0.	<mark>37</mark>	0.93	0.93	SK	3.22	0.99	0.97	SK	0.01	0.91	0.82
2016	AB	2.19	2.55	0.70	AB	1.54	1.78	0.91	AE	0 .	45	0.63	0.86	AB	3.94	4.97	0.96	AB	0.01	0.01	0.75
	MB	2.53	2.72	<mark>5.87</mark>	MB	1.25	1.34	1.22	M	B <mark>0</mark> .	42	0.51	0.55	MB	3.90	4.80	<mark>4.98</mark>	ME	0.01	0.01	0.01
		SK	AB	MB		SK	AB	MB		SK		٩B	MB		SK	AB	MB		SK	AB	MB
	SK	1.20	0.89	0.79	SK	1.11	0.97	0.96	SK	0.	<mark>31</mark>	0.96	0.95	SK	3.04	0.98	0.98	SK	0.03	0.99	0.98
2017	AB	1.76	3.28	0.74	AB	0.96	0.89	0.93	AE	3 0 .	28	0.27	0.92	AB	2.99	3.09	0.95	AB	0.03	0.03	0.97
	MB	1.27	1.96	<mark>2.16</mark>	MB	1.06	0.92	<mark>1.10</mark>	M	B <mark>0</mark> .	27	0.24	0.27	MB	2.57	2.52	2.27	ME	0.03	0.03	0.03
		SK	AB	MB		SK	AB	MB		SK		٩B	MB		SK	AB	MB		SK	AB	MB
	сv	4 00	001	1 00	сv	1 00	0 02		CV		<mark>co</mark>		0.06	сv				CV			0.06



Fig 2. Genetic variance for each province, covariance of genotypes between pairs of provinces and genetic correlations between pairs of provinces for yield, oil, protein, glucosinolates and saturates in 2016, 2017 and 2018.



