#### www.irc2011.org

# Honeybees: alternative to increase the yield and quality of canola oil for biodiesel production in Brazil

A. Rosa<sup>1</sup>, B. Blochtein<sup>2</sup>, <u>G. Tomm</u><sup>3</sup>, D. Ferreira<sup>2</sup>, M. Fernandes<sup>2</sup>, B. Freitas<sup>4</sup>, P. Andrade<sup>4</sup>, S. Witter<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Universidade de São Paulo, Faculdade de Filosofia Ciências e Letras, Ribeirão Preto SP, Brazil <sup>2</sup>PUC-RS, Faculdade de Biociências, Porto Alegre RS, Brazil <sup>3</sup>EMBRAPA, Trigo, Passo Fundo RS, Brazil <sup>4</sup>Universidade Federal do Ceará, Faculdade de Bioci?ncias, Fortaleza CE, Brazil <sup>5</sup>FEPAGRO-RS, Centro de Meteorologia Aplicada, Porto Alegre RS, Brazil

tomm@cnpt.embrapa.br

### Background

Current demand for vegetable oils and research to develop knowledge on management of alternative crops aiming at biodiesel production is becoming increasingly widespread in Brazil (Parente, 2003). In this context the canola cropping area is gradually increasing in the country driven by the opportunity of growing a second oilseed crop in the same year as well as due to the excellent quality of its oil associated to its fatty acid composition for either, human consumption or biodiesel (Cunha, 2007; Tomm, 2007; Marjanovic-Jeromela *et al.*, 2008). Southern Brazil has excellent soil and climatic conditions for canola production. However, average yields (around 1500 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>) are well bellow the potential yield of the crop (4500 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>). Canola has been classified as a self-compatible plant. In spite of that, studies have shown that cross-pollination between flowers, especially when performed by *Apis mellifera*, can increase grain yield and farmers income, raising interest on the possibility of its management (Free, 1993; Sabbahi & Oliveira, 2005; Abrol, 2007; Rosa *et al.*, 2010). Little is known about possible effect of that on canola oil yield and quality.

#### Objectives

This study aimed to compare yield and quality of canola oil under different pollination conditions in a commercial field in Southern Brazil.

#### Methods

The field experiment was carried, with three replicates, in 2007. The oil analysis were performed at the Federal University of Ceará from grain produced under three management treatments during flowering: (A) xenogamy (manual cross pollination), (B) free insect visitation (natural conditions), and (C) without presence of insects or interference with pollination. Ether extract (%) and gross energy (kcal.kg<sup>-1</sup>) were estimated, respectively, by the Sohxlet method with hexane, and using an adiabatic calorimetry bomb. Comparisons of the averages of the parameters were carried by the Kruskal-Wallis test for nonparametric data, with Mann-Whitney *a posteriori* procedure, using the software Statistica 7.0.

#### Results

Both, ether extract (%) and gross energy (kcal.kg<sup>-1</sup>) were higher on seeds produced under manual cross pollination (Table I). The percentage of ether extract under manual cross pollination differed significantly from the other treatments and gross energy did not. Although statistical difference was observed only in the percentage of ethereal extract, the absolute values of both parameters were higher in the manual cross pollination treatment in relation to the other ones.

www.irc2011.org

dancy of canola of according to treatments.						
	Treatment		Ether	extract	Gross	energy
	Heatment		(%)		(kcal.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	
	Xenogamy		43,08	±	7.628,83	±
	Achoganiy		0,70a		626,27a	
	Free	insect	33,83	±	7.438,64	±
	visitation		8,21b		500,00a	
	Without		31,98	±	7.559,56	±
_	interference		3,67b		722,48a	

Table I. Yield and quality of canola oil according to treatments.

## **Conclusion/Application to practice**

Increasing the concentration of oils in agricultural products for biodiesel production is a key objective. The importance of the canola crop in Brazil is growing and the Brazilian government has introduced policies to encourage farmers to grow canola and other oilseed crops. Therefore knowledge and improvement on the management and use of *A. mellifera* in canola crops to raise the yield and quality of its vegetable oil for biodiesel production has potential, as shown in this study, and requires further work

#### References

Abrol, D.P. 2007. Honeybees rapeseed pollinator plant interaction. Advances in Botanical Research 45: 337-369.

Cunha, G.R. 2007. Agroenergy: The Future that Arrived. O Nacional, Passo Fundo, RS, Brazil.

Free, J.B. 1993. Insect pollination of crops. Academic Press, London, UK.

Marjanovic-Jeromela, A.; Marinkovic, R.; Mijic, A.; Zdunic, Z.; Ivanovska, S.; Jankulovska, M. 2008. Correlation and path analysis of quantitative traits in winter rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus 73: 13-18.

Parente, E. J. S. 2003. Biodiesel: uma aventura tecnológica num país engraçado. Fortaleza: Tecbio, 68p.

Rosa, Annelise de Souza ; <u>Blochtein, Betina</u> ; Ferreira, N. R. ; Witter, S. 2010. *Apis mellifera* (Hymenoptera: Apidae) as a potential Brassica napus pollinator (cv. Hyola 432) (Brassicaceae), in southern Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Biology (Impresso), v. 70, p. 1075-1081.

Sabbahi, R.; Oliveira, D.; Marceau, J. 2005. Influence of Honey Bee (Hymenopetra: Apidae) Density on the Production of Canola (Cruciferae: Brassicaceae). Journal of Economic Entomology 98: 367-372.

Tomm, G.O. 2007. Technological indicatives for canola productivity in Rio Grande do Sul. Embrapa. Available at: http://www.cnpt.embrapa.b r/culturas/canola/p\_sp03\_2007.pdf. [Accessed Jan. 15, 2009].