## www.irc2011.org

# Genetic Analysis of Branching angle in Rapeseed (*Brassica napus L.*) with Mixed Model of Major Gene Plus Polygene

ZHENG Puying, SONG Xi, ZHANG Xuekun, LU Guangyuan, CHENG Yong Oilcrops Reseach Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Science, Wuhan 430062, P.R.China

# Abstract

Branching angle is one of the important agronomic characters in rapeseed to reflect plant type and it also has a great effect on population density. To make clear of the inheritance mechanism of branching angle will contribute a lot to rapeseed ideotype breeding. In this study, two lines with significant difference in branching angle were selected to hybrid to produce F1, from which B1, B2 as well as F2 were derived. Using the phenotype data of six generations to conduct a joint segregation analysis, the optimal genetic model was selected and genetic parameters were estimated. The result revealed that the branching angle in this cross was controlled by one major gene along with polygenes. Major gene shows no dominant effect but polygenes have negative effect, which lead to no heterosis but mid-parent value inclining to compact type in F1. Additive effect of major gene and polygene in F2 population are 23.97% and 16.59%, respectively. The results have implication for rapeseed breeding of branching angle that middle or later generation selection and large population will be more effective.

Key words: rapeseed, branching angle, major gene, polygene, genetic analysis

## Introduction

In order to further expand the planting area and accelerate the mechanized process in China, the breeding for ideotype has aroused great attention in recent years. Branching angle, which is an important trait associated with the morphology of ideal plant type, it is not only related to population density and yield, but also has an effect on the occurrence of disease and insects. The previous studies on rapeseed revealed that branching angle showed no cytoplasmic effect and was mainly controlled by karyogene similar to yield trait, so reciprocal cross is of no concern when making cross combination (Zhang et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2005). F1 performed mid-parent value inclining to compact type or negative over-parent hetorosis. (Zhang et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2006; ) Wang et al. (2005) found that branching angle was controlled by both additive and dominant effect. In addition, dominant gene has reducing effect on branching angle from his result. But all of them using the traditional quantitative genetics methods and statistic methods to analyse the genetic effect, while the major gene and polygene effect can't be separated. The objective of this study is to discuss the optimal genetic model and estimate the genetic parameters for the cross of 9548×520 by the major gene plus polygene mixed inheritance thoery (Wang and Gai, 1998) in order to propose a breeding strategy for the selection of branching angle in rapeseed.

## Material and methods

*Materials*:Two parent lines, 9548 and 520, showing significant difference on branching angle were selected by rapeseed breeding lab in Oil Crop Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agriculture Science.

*Experimental method*:The cross was made in spring 2008 at Yangluo experimental field, Wuhan, China (Latitude 114°22'N, long 30°53'E). The F1 seed was harvested in May 2009 and advanced to

#### www.irc2011.org

produce F2, B1 and B2 population in summer 2009 at Xining, Qinghai, China (Latitude 101°44'N, long 36°35'E). In addition, the parents were bagged and self crossed in every growing season. All the P1, P2, F1, F2, B1 and B2 were planted at Yangluo in autumn 2009 with the population density of 120,000 plants per hectare and were evaluated in green-silique stage by measuring the third or fouth branching angle. The plant investigated should no less than 20 and 100 individuals for the non-segregation generations and segregation generations, respectively (Gai et al. 2003).

*Statistical analyses*: Analysis software was provided by Professor Wang in Crop Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China.

# Results and discussion

The frequency distribution of branching angle in six generations:T-test for mean of two parents was conducted by SAS 7.0 and the result suggested that they had significant difference at 0.01 level (t=5.895, t<sub>0.01,65</sub>=2.654). The phenotype value of F1 generation is  $39.7^{\circ}$  on average, showing no heterosis but tending to negative mid-parent value, which is consistent with previous studies (Wang et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2000). Meanwhile, B1 and B2 population were unimodal distribution but deviated from normal distribution and F2 population showed bimodal distribution (Figure 1), which indicated major genes may be involved (Zhang et al. 2000).

able 1 Frequency	distribution	and basic	statistics	of branching	angle in	6 generations	derived from
the cross of 9548×	520						

Generatio	Gouping of branching angle(°)	Maa	Standa	
11	16-20 21-25 26-30 31-35 36-40 41-45 46-50 51-55 56-60 61- 65 66-70	n	error	
P1	1 7 11 10 1 1	44.1	1.1	
F1	6 14 41 35 11 1	39.7	0.5	
P2	2 6 9 13 5 1	36.1	0.9	
B1	1 1 7 31 65 60 36 11 2 0 1	41.2	0.5	
B2	2 15 37 50 37 3 1 1	37.9	0.4	
F2	6 16 56 73 40 26 1 3 1	38.6	0.5	

AIC value Calculation and tests for goodness-of-fit. According to joint segregation of multigenerations analysis, we obtained maximum likelihood value and AIC value of twenty-four genetic models which were divided into five categories, that is one pair of major gene, two pair of major genes, polygene, one pair of major gene plus polygene and two pair of major genes plus polygene. Five models with relatively low AIC value were selected as the candidate models (Table 2). Then, tests for goodness-of-fit of five candidate models was conducted, including uniformity test ( $U1^2$ ,  $U2^2$ ,  $U3^2$ ), Smirnov ( $nW^2$ ) and Kolmogorov ( $D_n$ ) test and the total number of significant statistic were counted (Table 2). From the results above, we can make a preliminary conclusion that branching angle in this cross was controlled by one major gene and polygene.

		-		
Model	Implication of model	Maximum	AIC value	The total number
code		likelihood		of significant
		estimated value		statistic
B-1-2	1MG-AD	-2435.515	4883.030	2
C-1	PG-AD	-2434.891	4883.783	2
D-2	MX1-A-AD	-2432.640	4881.280	1
D-3	MX1-AED-AD	-2433.351	4882.702	1
D-4	MX1-AEND-AD	-2432.639	4881.278	1

 Table 2 Maximum likelihood estimated value, AIC value and test for goodness-of-fit of candidate

 models calculated by joint segregation of multi-generations

MG: Major gene model; PG: Polygene model; MX1: Mixed one pair of major gene and polygene model; A: Additive effect; D: Dominant effect; E: Equal; N: Negative. e.g. model D-4, MX1-AEND-AD represents one pair of major gene with completely negative dominat effect and polygene with additive and dominat effect.

*The selection of the optimal genetic model*: The first order distribution parameters were estimated according to IECM algorithm and then the first order genetic parameters were calculated by the least square method based on its relation with the first order distribution parameters. The tests of significance for the first order genetic parameters (m, d, [d], [h]) were conducted referring to the method of Gai et al. (2003) (Table 3). The number of significant parameters under D-2 models were more than D-3 and D-4 model, so it was selected as the optimal genetic model, that is one pair of major gene with additive effect plus polygene with additive and dominant effect. The second order genetic parameters of model D-2 were estimated by the second order distribution parameters (Table 4). Under model D-2, the additive effect of major gene and polygene was 2.895 and 0.772, respectively. Therefore, The additive effect towards consistent direction to increase the branching angle. The heritability of B1, B2 and F2 were 40.0%, 7.3% and 40.6%, indicating that branching angle was partly influenced by environment, which is inconsistent with the research of Wang et al. (2005). The possible reason is the different evaluation methods and generations being used. In their study, they employed the method of diallel cross to estimate the heritability of F1 generation

www.irc2011.org

1st order	D-2 model			D-3 model			D-4 model		
parameter	Estimat	T value t <sub>0.05,5</sub>	t <sub>0.05,5</sub>	Estimat	T value t <sub>0.05,3</sub>	t <sub>0.05,3</sub>	Estimat	T value t <sub>0.05,3</sub>	t <sub>0.05,3</sub>
	е			е			е		
m	39.723*	42.021 2.571	4.03	39.606*	65.543 3.182	5.84	39.696*	41.469 3.182	5.841
	*		2	*		1	*		
d	2.895*	3.267		0.437	0.620		2.272	3.113	
[d]	0.772	0.616		3.188	2.631		1.427	1.222	
[h]	-0.254	-0.141		-0.81	-0.655		1.992	1.038	

Table 3 The test of significance for the first order genetic parameters of model D-2,D-3 and D-4

"\*\*" denotes significance difference at 1% level;

Fable 4 Estimates of	genetic parameters	of branching angle i	in the cross of 9548×52	0 under D-2 model
----------------------	--------------------	----------------------	-------------------------	-------------------

Mode 1st order		Estimate	2nd order	Estimate(	%)		
Ι	paramet		parameter	B <sub>1</sub>	B <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	
	er						
D-2	т	39.722	$\sigma^{2}{}_{p}$	43.996	28.478	44.415	
	d	2.895	$\sigma^2_{ m mg}$	0.581	0.417	10.648	
	h	0	$\sigma^{2}_{ m pg}$	17.017	1.663	7.370	
	[ <i>d</i> ]	0.772	$h^2_{mg}(\%)$	1.322	1.465	23.974	
	[ <i>h</i> ]	-0.254	h <sup>2</sup> pg(%)	38.678	5.840	16.594	

*m*: the mean of population; *d*: additive effect of the major gene; *h*: dominant effect of the major gene; [*d*]: additive effect of polygene; [*h*] dominant effect of polygene;  $\sigma_p^2$ : phenotypic variance;  $\sigma_{pg}^2$ :polygene variance;  $\sigma_{mg}^2$ : major gene variance;  $h_{mg}^2(\%)$ : heritability of major gene;  $h_{pg}^2(\%)$ : heritability of polygene.

## Conclusion

Branching angle is controlled by one pair of major gene with additive effect and polygenes with additive and dominant effect in the cross of 9548×520. F1 generation performed mid-parent value inclining to compact type, so two parents should have low branch angle in order to obtain compact hybrid. In addition, middle or later generation selection as well as large populations could be particularly necessary for branching angle breeding.

### Acknowlegements

This work was supported by a grant from State Science and Technology Support Program (2010BAD01B02) and Modern Agricultural Industry Technology System Development Special Funds.

# References

Gai JY, Wang JK. 1998. Identification of the major-minor gene mixture model by using backcross generations. Hereditas, 20:110-111.

Gai JY, Zhang YM, Wang JK. 2003. Genetic system of quantitative traits in plants. Science Press, Beijing in Chinese.

Wang JS, Tian JH, Zhang JS, Li DR, Yuan ZF. 2005. Study on the heredity of plant-type traits in compact rapeseed lines and the genetic correlation with yield traits. Journal of Northwest Sci-Tech University of Agriculture and Forestry(Natural Science Edition), 33:7-12.(in Chinese).

Wang JS, Zhang WX, Tian JH, Li DR. 2006. Study on inheritance and heterosis of plant-type traits in compact rapeseed lines. Acta Agriculturae Boreali-occidentalis Sinica, 15:31-36.(in Chinese).

Zhang YM, Gai JY, Wang JK. 2000. Identification of two major genes plus polygenes mixed inheritance model of quantitative traits in B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>, and F<sub>2</sub>. Journal of Biomathematics, 15:358-366.