# Studies on genetic variability for water deficiency tolerant in some rapeseed genotypes

#### Keshta M. M, Hisham Taher

Oil Crops Research Sec., Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt Email: maatykeshta2@hotmail.com

#### Abstract

Seeds of 14 double haploid lines and two cultivars of spring rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) Fido and Serw4 were grown in irrigated soil under normal and water stress conditions. The experiments were conducted in El-Serw Agricultural Research Station, North Delta of Egypt during 2002/2003 and 2003/2004 seasons. Genetic variability were recorded for seed yield, oil content, 1000 seed weigh, number of branches/plant and plant height. The stress tolerance index revealed that the genotypes double haploids lines Dh16, DhL46 and cultivars serw4 recorded high stress tolerance and yield potential. Harvest index, days to 50% flowering and total dry mater were also studied.

Key words: Rapeseed, water deficiency, seed yield, oil content,total dry mater and genetic variability

#### Introduction

Oilseed rape became a new oil crop in Egypt which may reduce the gap between the local production and consumption of the edible oil. But this new crop faces difficulties to compete with the main crops in the Nile valley land during winter seasons. The new reclaimed land outside the valley is the target for rapeseed growing. The most of these land is located in the desert with shortage of irrigating water. Therefore new genotypes adapted and tolerant to water deficiency is very essential for the success of rapeseed growing (Keshta 1998 and 1999). A crop improvement program must be based on adequate variability for the desired trait, and indeed considerable variability for tolerance of drought has been observed among and within species (Epstein et al 1980). Various screening procedures have been devised for identifying tolerant lines or even individual plants within species (Epstein 1977, Kingsbury and Epstein 1984, Shanon 1979 and Norlyn and Emanuel 1989)

One of the rapid breeding method for the development of new improved rapeseed cultivars is producing homozygous diploid lines(DHL) derived from the natural occurring haploid plants (Thompson 1979). He illustrated that trails results from spring and winter rape showed that occasional homozygous diploid lines yielded consistently more oil per/ha than the parental varieties. Loof (1975) considered that comparatively homozygous lines would not include all the desirable characteristics of winter rapeseed e.g. extremes winter-hardiness and resistant to diseases, necessary to give variety sufficient adaptability to different soil and climatic condition.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate some homozygous diploid lines of spring rapeseed produced from the natural occurrence haploid plants with the two cultivated cultivars Fido and Serw4 under normal and water stress conditions.

## **Materials and Methods**

The evaluated 14 genotypes of double haploid lines (DHL),Fido and Serw 4 of spring rapeseed (*B.napus*) were grown in irrigated soil under normal (6 irrigations), and water deficiency (3 irrigations) conditions in the experimental farm of El Serw Agricultural Research Station. Two field experiments were carried out during 2002/2003 and 2003/2004.The randomized complete blocks design in factorial arrangements was used with four replications.The area of each plot was 10 m<sup>2</sup> (5 rows×0.5 m width×4 m long). Seeds were sown in hills during the first week of November (5gm / plot) in both seasons. The fertilizers of P and K (40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 30kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha) were applied during land preparation and the nitrogen fertilizer (120 kg N /ha) was applied in two equal doses, half during land preparation and the other at the time of the second irrigation. Plants were hand thinned three weeks after sowing leaving one plant/hill. Observations were recorded on five plants selected from the inner rows for the studied characters; and were harvested at the end of the season and air-dried to determined thousand seed weight, seed yield /ha,, oil content, 1000seed weight, number of branches per plant and plant height.Days to flowering were estimated from sowing date to 50% flowering. Harvest index and total dray matter were also recorded. Oil content was estimated according to the procedures of A.O.A.C (1975). The stress tolerant index :The value of any character studied of each genotype at the normal irrigation level (R1) considered being 100 and the value of the same character of each genotype at the level of water deficiency is considered as a percentage of R2 level. It was calculated as the following formula: Stress tolerant index = (R<sub>2</sub> / R1)x100.

The data were statistically analyzed according to Gomez and Gomez (1984).

## **Results and Discussion**

Effect of water deficiency: Results presented in Tables (1,2) show that average of days to 50% flowering, plant height, number of branches per plant,1000-seed weight, seed yield per hectare, oil content, total dry matter and harvest index were

significantly decreased by increasing the deficiency by 2.8, 38.3, 37.3, 29.5, 57.6, 14.5, 44.0 and 25.0 % respectively. Therefore, plant height, 1000- seed weight, seed yield /ha and total dry matter characters were more injurious than the other traits. Days to 50% flowering were reduced from 1 to 6 days and the reductions were greater in the late flowering lines. The highest two yielded lines (DhL16 and DHL46) showed the highest values of number of branches / plant and \_ plant height. The weight of 1000-seed were also affected by increasing water stress level and the range was between 2.8 to 3.6 gm. The two homozygous lines DHL16 and DHL46 lines out yielded Fido and serw4 in seed yield kg/ha by 35% and 23 % respectively and they exceed DH1 by 75.0 and 59.5 %. These results were true since they gave the highest values of the studied trait under the \_ level of water stress condition. On the other hand, although DhL52 produced the highest yield under the normal condition, it was not the best under the water stress level.

Oil content revealed difference reductions among the lines, the highest oil content obtained from DHL16,DHL46,Fido and Serw4 although they were not the best under norma condition. Total dry matter is the result of the previous studied characters and it was reduced about 44%. The reduction in these characters caused by water deficiency could be attributed to increasing somatic pressure of the soil solution to a point which restarted or reduced the intake of water resulting in water stress in the plant and decreasing cell division, cell elongation and cell initiation

The data also presented in Tables 1&2 showed significant defrences among genotypes and stress condition in all studied traits, but it was varying from character to another and from line to another.

Stress Tolerance Index (STI): The value in this study express water deficiency tolerance of any character. In another ward, the highest value is the highest drought tolerance. Data presented in Table (3) showed difference values among lines and among characters. For plant height, the highest values obtained from DHL16and followed by Fido. The data showed that the lowest value obtained form cv Serw4 (48%) while the highest values were obtained from DHL146 and DHL16. Oil content was also affected but less than the other characters, and the highest values obtained from DHL16, DHL46 and Serw4. The STI of 1000- seed weight is not acting similar because the highest seed yielding lines were not recorded the highest values. STI seed yield presented in this study showed that the highest seed yielding lines under stress condition (DHL16and DHL46) recorded the highest STI (53 and 52%).Harvest index did not act the same, while the highest seed yielding genotype did not record the STI of seed yield, the lines Serw 4 and Fido recorded the STI (90 and 88%). The STI of seed yield expressed water deficiency tolerance for all traits, while the highest seed yielding line under the normal condition (HDL52) did not gave the same result under the stress level. In general, the two homozygous diploid lines DHL16 and DHL46 recorded the highest STI of number of branches /pl, Plant height, seed yield kg/ ha. These results proved that there are in agronomic characters and water deficiency tolerance These results were also genetically variation among the lines correspondent with that obtained by Keshta (1998&1999), Thompson (1979), Epstein (1975) and Loof (1975). Table 3: Water deficiency tolerance index of some characters of some rapeseed cultivars and some homozygous diploid lines.

| Genotypes | Days to 50% flowering. |        |      | Branches number/p |     |      | Plant height |        |      | 1000 seed weight |                 |      |
|-----------|------------------------|--------|------|-------------------|-----|------|--------------|--------|------|------------------|-----------------|------|
|           | IR1                    | $IR_2$ | Mean | $IR_1$            | IR2 | Mean | $IR_1$       | $IR_2$ | Mean | $IR_1$           | IR <sub>2</sub> | Mean |
| Fido      | 105                    | 104    | 105  | 7.3               | 4.2 | 5.8  | 169          | 108    | 139  | 4.1              | 2.8             | 3.5  |
| Serw4     | 108                    | 107    | 108  | 8.1               | 5.7 | 7.8  | 141          | 101    | 122  | 4.6              | 3.1             | 3.9  |
| DHL1      | 110                    | 108    | 109  | 9.4               | 5.3 | 7.4  | 157          | 118    | 136  | 4.5              | 3.0             | 3.8  |
| DHL2      | 111                    | 108    | 110  | 7.6               | 4.2 | 5.9  | 169          | 093    | 133  | 4.8              | 3.1             | 3.9  |
| DHL3      | 111                    | 109    | 110  | 8.3               | 4.0 | 6.2  | 141          | 110    | 126  | 4.5              | 3.2             | 3.9  |
| DHL4      | 107                    | 104    | 105  | 8.0               | 4.3 | 6.2  | 167          | 095    | 131  | 4.7              | 3.6             | 4.2  |
| DHL5      | 108                    | 106    | 107  | 8.1               | 5.1 | 6.6  | 215          | 117    | 186  | 4.1              | 3.0             | 3.6  |
| DHL16     | 104                    | 101    | 102  | 9.2               | 7.3 | 8.3  | 188          | 140    | 164  | 4.9              | 3.4             | 4.2  |
| DHL18     | 113                    | 109    | 111  | 7.0               | 4.1 | 5.6  | 221          | 107    | 164  | 4.5              | 3.1             | 3.8  |
| DHL19     | 109                    | 106    | 107  | 6.9               | 4.3 | 5.6  | 205          | 117    | 161  | 4.3              | 2.9             | 3.6  |
| DHL46     | 101                    | 99     | 100  | 8.8               | 7.0 | 7.9  | 176          | 102    | 139  | 4.7              | 3.5             | 4.1  |
| DHL51     | 110                    | 108    | 109  | 8.4               | 5.3 | 6.9  | 189          | 107    | 184  | 3.9              | 3.1             | 3.5  |
| DHL52     | 112                    | 109    | 110  | 9.0               | 5.4 | 7.2  | 181          | 110    | 146  | 4.7              | 2.9             | 3.8  |
| DHL53     | 109                    | 105    | 107  | 7.6               | 4.5 | 6.1  | 179          | 126    | 153  | 4.2              | 3.0             | 3.6  |
| DHL55     | 113                    | 108    | 110  | 8.1               | 5.6 | 6.9  | 156          | 118    | 137  | 3.9              | 2.8             | 3.4  |
| DHL58     | 111                    | 105    | 108  | 6.8               | 4.3 | 5.6  | 198          | 107    | 153  | 4.7              | 3.3             | 4.0  |
| Mean      | 109                    | 106    |      | 8.1               | 5.0 |      | 178          | 111    |      | 4.4              | 3.1             |      |
| LSDat5%S  |                        |        | 1.6  |                   |     | 1.6  |              |        | 36   |                  |                 | 0.3  |
| G         |                        |        | 2.1  |                   |     | 1.4  |              |        | 30   |                  |                 | 0.4  |
| GXS       |                        |        | 2.4  |                   |     | 1.9  |              |        | 65   |                  |                 | 0.6  |

 Table 1: Averages of some characters of the rapeseed DHLs, Fido and Serw4 under two levels irrigations IR1&IR2 in 2003/2004 seasons

## Conclusions

This study provides, evidence that natural occurring haploid plants could be a rapid source for genetically diversity which could be useful to find genotypes with high drought tolerance in rapeseed. Some homozygous diploid lines tested in this study were similar under the normal irrigation and others were more tolerance to water deficiency and out yielded others under

the stress level in yield and yield component. The two homozygous diploid lines (DHL16 and DHL46) characterized with high drought tolerance and early mature, therefore they could be successfully grown better in land affected by water stress.

#### References

A.O.A.C., (1975). Official Methods of Analysis 10th ED. Association official Analytical ChemistsWashington Dc., USA, 20044.

Epstein, E. (1977) Genetic potentials Genetic potentials for solving problems of soil mineral stress adaptation of crops to Salinity p. 75-82.

Epstein, et al.(1980). Saline culture of crops a genetic approach Science (Washington, DC) 210:258-404.

Gomez, K.A. and A. A. Gomez, (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research, 2nd Ed. p. 680. Jhon Wiley and Sons, New York, USA.

Keshta, M.M. (1998). Evaluation of some rapeseed genotypes under normal and stress irrigation. treatments. Eigh<sup>th</sup> Agronomy Conference, Faculty of Agric. Suze Canal Univ.1998; Nov.,28-29

Keshta, M.M. and K. Hammad (1999) Evaluation of some rapeseed genotypes in saline soil. Tenth international rapeseed congress, September26-29,1999 Canberra, Australia

Kingsbury, R.W., and Epstein (1984). Selection for salt-resistant spring wheat. Crop Sci. 24::310-315.

Loof, B.(1975), Elitenzuchtung bei Cruciferen -Oipflanzen. Proceeding Fourth International Rapeseed conference. Giessen. West Germany, 1974. pp. 73-77.

Norlyn, J.D. and E.Emanuel (1989). Variability in salt tolerance of four triticale lines at germination and emergence. Crop Sci. 24, 1090-1092.

Tompson, K.F.(1979): Suprier Performance of two homozygous diploid lines from natural occurring polyhaploids in oilseed rape (*Brasica napus*). Euphytica 28, 127-135.

# Table 2: Averages of some characters of rapeseed DHLs and the cultivated lines (Fido & Sera4) under two levels of irrigations (IR1.&IR2) over 2002/2003 and 2003/2004 seasons

| Conot mo(C)   | Seed yield kg/ha. |      |      | Oil content |      |      | Total dry matter kg/ha |      |      | Harvest index |      |      |
|---------------|-------------------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------------------------|------|------|---------------|------|------|
| Genotype(G)   | IR1               | IR2  | Mean | IR1         | IR2  | Mean | IR1                    | IR2  | Mean | IR1           | IR2  | Mean |
| Fido          | 1965              | 810  | 1373 | 46.7        | 38.3 | 42.3 | 5688                   | 3240 | 1620 | 0.34          | 0.25 | 0.30 |
| Serw4         | 2280              | 1050 | 1665 | 45.3        | 46.3 | 42.8 | 7670                   | 3890 | 5780 | 0.30          | 0.27 | 0.28 |
| DHL1          | 2664              | 1160 | 1912 | 45.9        | 39.3 | 42.6 | 8195                   | 3915 | 6055 | 0.33          | 0.29 | 0.31 |
| DHL2          | 2360              | 920  | 1640 | 45.8        | 39.1 | 42.5 | 5950                   | 3833 | 4892 | 0.40          | 0.24 | 0.32 |
| DHL3          | 2610              | 1030 | 1820 | 45.1        | 38.2 | 41.2 | 7080                   | 3960 | 5520 | 0.37          | 0.26 | 0.32 |
| DHL4          | 2390              | 675  | 1533 | 45.7        | 37.1 | 41.4 | 6230                   | 2812 | 4521 | 0.38          | 0.24 | 0.31 |
| DHL5          | 2385              | 870  | 1627 | 46.2        | 36.3 | 41.3 | 6745                   | 3480 | 5113 | 0.35          | 0.25 | 0.30 |
| DhL16         | 2465              | 1292 | 1879 | 45.9        | 40.8 | 43.4 | 6070                   | 3747 | 4908 | 0.41          | 0.34 | 0.38 |
| DHL18         | 2010              | 940  | 1475 | 45.2        | 38.2 | 47.1 | 5636                   | 3480 | 4558 | 0.38          | 0.27 | 0.33 |
| DHL19         | 1675              | 830  | 1253 | 46.1        | 38.3 | 42.2 | 6310                   | 3190 | 4750 | 0.32          | 0.26 | 0.29 |
| Dh146         | 2590              | 1418 | 2004 | 45.5        | 41.1 | 43.3 | 7220                   | 4137 | 5678 | 0.36          | 0.34 | 0.35 |
| DHL51         | 2215              | 835  | 1525 | 44.3        | 38.3 | 41.3 | 6375                   | 3100 | 4738 | 0.34          | 0.27 | 0.31 |
| DHL52         | 2870              | 786  | 1828 | 45.6        | 37.1 | 41.4 | 7280                   | 3030 | 5155 | 0.39          | 0.26 | 0.34 |
| DHL53         | 1855              | 795  | 1325 | 46.6        | 36.6 | 41.6 | 6340                   | 3180 | 4760 | 0.29          | 0.25 | 0.27 |
| DHL55         | 1935              | 805  | 1370 | 44.1        | 37.3 | 40.7 | 6655                   | 3220 | 4937 | 0.29          | 0.25 | 0.27 |
| DHL58         | 1865              | 935  | 1400 | 45.2        | 38.9 | 42.1 | 6345                   | 3395 | 5120 | 0.29          | 0.27 | 0.26 |
| Mean          |                   | 2261 | 959  |             | 44.9 | 38.4 |                        | 6140 | 3463 |               | 0.36 | 0.27 |
| LSD(5%) for S |                   |      | 187. |             |      | 0.9  |                        |      | 341  |               |      | 0.03 |
| G             |                   |      | 224  |             |      | 1.1  |                        |      | 415  |               |      | 0.04 |
| GxS           |                   |      | 308  |             |      | 1.8  |                        |      | 621  |               |      | 0.06 |

# Table 3: Water deficiency tolerance index of some characters of some rapeseed cultivars and some homozygous diploid lines.

| Genotypes | Branches<br>number | plant height | oil content | 1000-seed wt<br>(gm) | seed yield kg/<br>ha | total dry<br>matter /ha | harvest index |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Fido      | 58                 | 48           | 82          | 42                   | 41                   | 70                      | 74            |
| Serw4     | 70                 | 60           | 89          | 46                   | 46                   | 55                      | 90            |
| DHL1      | 56                 | 61           | 86          | 43                   | 44                   | 35                      | 88            |
| DHL2      | 55                 | 64           | 85          | 39                   | 40                   | 54                      | 60            |
| DHL3      | 48                 | 68           | 85          | 39                   | 39                   | 47                      | 70            |
| DHL4      | 54                 | 64           | 82          | 28                   | 28                   | 38                      | 64            |
| DHL5      | 63                 | 60           | 79          | 31                   | 37                   | 52                      | 71            |
| Dh16      | 79                 | 62           | 89          | 52                   | 52                   | 62                      | 83            |
| DHL18     | 59                 | 64           | 85          | 47                   | 47                   | 45                      | 71            |
| DHL19     | 63                 | 68           | 83          | 50                   | 49                   | 47                      | 70            |
| DhL46     | 80                 | 67           | 90          | 53                   | 54                   | 66                      | 62            |
| DHL51     | 65                 | 55           | 86          | 38                   | 38                   | 47                      | 79            |
| DHL52     | 60                 | 55           | 81          | 26                   | 27                   | 33                      | 62            |
| DHL53     | 59                 | 61           | 72          | 43                   | 43                   | 51                      | 86            |
| DHLF55    | 69                 | 61           | 85          | 42                   | 42                   | 49                      | 86            |
| DHLF58    | 63                 | 59           | 86          | 51                   | 50                   | 62                      | 86            |
| Mean      | 63                 | 61           | 84          | 38                   | 42                   | 59                      | 75            |
| LSD at 5% | 0.9                | 3.7          | 2.4         | 3.9                  | 5.1                  | 4.2                     | 1.5           |