

Variation in growth rate and seed yield of *Brassica juncea* genotypes as affected by nitrogen levels

R. S. Banga, R. S. Bisht, Ashok Yadav

CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125004, India Email: rsbanga@hau.ernet.in

Abstract

Crop growth rate (CGR) increased rapidly upto 60-90 DAS and suddenly declined thereafter, whereas, relative growth rate (RGR) was maximum at initial growth stage and declined later on throughout the growth period. CGR was better in RH-9707 and RGR in RH-9510. At initial stages, with the application of nitrogen, CGR increased significantly, whereas in RGR reverse trend was observed. Maximum mustard yield ($1804.3 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) was obtained from RH-9707 followed by RH-9304 ($1771.4 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) genotype. Yield increased significantly only up to 80 kg N ha^{-1} .

Key words: Indian mustard, *Brassica juncea*, genotypes, nitrogen levels, dry matter accumulation, CGR, RGR, yield

Introduction

Oilseeds, the raw material for vegetable oils occupy a significant place in India's national economy next only to food grains, accounting for about 10% of the cultivated area and value of all agricultural produce (Upadhyay *et al.*, 2000). Raya (*Brassica juncea* Czen & Coss) has an important position among oilseed crops grown in India. The lower productivity in India than in other developed countries may be due to low yielding strains and faulty management practices including fertilizers. Hence the present study was taken up to outline the growth rate of mustard genotypes in relation to N-fertilization.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at Research Farm of CCS, Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India during winter (rabi) seasons of 2002-03 and 2003-04, to study the performance of promising *Brassica juncea* genotypes under different fertility situations. The treatments comprised eight genotypes in main plots and four N levels in sub plots (Tables 1 and 2) and the experiment was laid out in split plot design replicated thrice. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture and medium in fertility with slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 8.0). Crop was sown on 23 October 2002 and 10 October 2003 with hand plough at row to row distance of 30 cm. Thinning was done at 20 DAS to maintain the plant to plant distance at about 10-15 cm. For dry matter, plants were harvested from second row on either side in each plot at 30, 60, 90, 120 and at harvest. On the basis of dry matter CGR and RGR were computed by using formulae given by Watson (1952).

Results and Discussion

Effect of genotypes

The crop growth rate (CGR) increased with the advancement of crop age upto 60-90 DAS (Table 1). This might be due to higher dry matter accumulation as well as production of more functional leaves (Saha and Mandal, 2000). Irrespective of genotypes and nitrogen levels, CGR in general showed a sigmoid pattern. Genotype RH-9707 had better CGR, though it was at par with RH-9304 at initial stages. Contrary to CGR, relative growth rates (RGR) attained maximum value between 30-60 DAS and gradually declined with the advancement of crop till maturity (Table 2). On the basis of two years, RH-9707 had maximum seed yield ($1804.3 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) followed by RH-9304 ($1771.4 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$).

Effect of nitrogen

Each successive increase in nitrogen level from 0 to 80 kg/ha increased the CGR value at 30-60 and 60-90 days (Table 1). CGR decreased with the increase in nitrogen from 80 kg N/ha to 100 kg N/ha in first year and 60 kg/ha to 80 kg/ha in second year at 90-120 DAS. At 120-harvest, CGR was non-significant during first year, whereas, it increased from 0 to 60 kg N/ha . During the first year at 60-90 and 90-120 DAS nitrogen application didn't influenced the RGR, whereas, during second year it increased with nitrogen application from 0 to 60 kg at 60-90 DAS (Table 2). Application of 80 kg N/ha produced significantly higher seed yield (1964.2 kg/ha), which was 59.50 and 17.34% higher over control and 60 kg N/ha , respectively (Pooled over two years). Similar results have been reported earlier also (Singh and Singh, 1998).

References

- Saha, A., Mandal, B.K. (2000). Growth and yield of yellow Sarson and Mustard in relation to Sulphur nutrition in lowland entisol under rainfed conditions. *Journal of Oilseeds Research*. **17**(2), 307-314.
- Singh, R.P., Singh, Y. (1998). Performance of rainfed Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) varieties at varying levels of nitrogen. *Indian Journal of Agron.* **43** (4), 709-712
- Upadhyay, R.G., Singh, B.B., Sharma, S. (2000). Effect of various levels of Zn and irrigation on growth, yield and yield contributing characters of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Agricultural Science Digest* **20** (1), 68-70
- Watson, D.J. (1952). The physiological basis of variation in yield. *Advances in Agronomy*. **4**, 101-145.

Table 1. Effect of genotypes and nitrogen levels on crop growth rate (g plant⁻¹ day⁻¹) of Indian mustard

| Treatments | Crop Growth Rate (g plant ⁻¹ day ⁻¹) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|-------------|---------|-------|
| | 30-60 DAS | | | 60-90 DAS | | | 90-120 DAS | | | 120-harvest | | |
| | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | Mean | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | Mean | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | Mean | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | Mean |
| Genotypes | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RH-9304 | 0.359 | 0.371 | 0.365 | 1.163 | 1.185 | 1.174 | 0.273 | 0.397 | 0.355 | 0.265 | 0.112 | 0.189 |
| RH-9324 | 0.341 | 0.348 | 0.345 | 1.110 | 1.127 | 1.119 | 0.326 | 0.288 | 0.307 | 0.118 | 0.092 | 0.105 |
| RH-9404 | 0.318 | 0.332 | 0.325 | 1.041 | 1.041 | 1.028 | 0.372 | 0.427 | 0.400 | 0.058 | 0.044 | 0.051 |
| RH-9505 | 0.303 | 0.323 | 0.313 | 0.933 | 1.098 | 1.016 | 0.235 | 0.443 | 0.339 | 0.156 | 0.079 | 0.118 |
| RH-9510 | 0.316 | - | 0.316 | 0.926 | - | 0.926 | 0.485 | - | 0.485 | 0.117 | - | 0.117 |
| RH-9512 | 0.236 | 0.282 | 0.259 | 1.153 | 1.018 | 1.086 | 0.219 | 0.308 | 0.264 | 0.203 | 0.069 | 0.136 |
| RH-9610 | 0.276 | - | 0.276 | 0.906 | - | 0.906 | 0.173 | - | 0.173 | 0.165 | - | 0.165 |
| RH-9707 | 0.349 | 0.354 | 0.352 | 1.138 | 1.231 | 1.185 | 0.390 | 0.327 | 0.359 | 0.311 | 0.273 | 0.292 |
| LSD (P=0.05) | 0.025 | 0.029 | | 0.147 | NS | | 0.078 | 0.095 | | 0.055 | 0.031 | |
| Nitrogen Levels | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.208 | 0.257 | 0.233 | 0.598 | 0.521 | 0.560 | 0.227 | 0.297 | 0.262 | 0.151 | 0.091 | 0.121 |
| 60 | 0.322 | 0.329 | 0.326 | 0.985 | 1.169 | 1.077 | 0.292 | 0.426 | 0.359 | 0.181 | 0.116 | 0.149 |
| 80 | 0.353 | 0.374 | 0.364 | 1.244 | 1.327 | 1.286 | 0.363 | 0.396 | 0.380 | 0.187 | 0.121 | 0.154 |
| 100 | 0.367 | 0.380 | 0.374 | 1.343 | 1.449 | 1.396 | 0.355 | 0.341 | 0.348 | 0.177 | 0.118 | 0.148 |
| LSD (P=0.05) | 0.029 | 0.031 | | 0.147 | 0.172 | | 0.062 | 0.081 | | NS | 0.019 | |

Table 2. Effect of genotypes and nitrogen levels on relative growth rate (mg g⁻¹ day⁻¹) of Indian mustard

| eatments | Crop Growth Rate (mg g ⁻¹ day ⁻¹) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|-------------|---------|------|---------------|---------|--------|
| | 30-60 DAS | | | 60-90 DAS | | | 90-120 DAS | | | 120-harvest | | | Yield (Kg/ha) | | |
| | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | Mean | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | Mean | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | Mean | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | Mean | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | Mean |
| Genotypes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RH-9304 | 42.38 | 44.36 | 43.37 | 39.71 | 38.79 | 39.25 | 5.06 | 7.54 | 6.30 | 4.38 | 1.91 | 3.15 | 1615.9 | 1926.9 | 1771.4 |
| RH-9324 | 42.11 | 42.43 | 42.27 | 39.29 | 38.36 | 38.83 | 6.25 | 6.00 | 6.13 | 2.22 | 1.66 | 1.94 | 1518.3 | 1759.2 | 1638.8 |
| RH-9404 | 38.23 | 41.83 | 40.03 | 37.62 | 37.61 | 37.62 | 8.07 | 8.83 | 8.45 | 1.15 | 0.84 | 1.00 | 1531.6 | 1525.8 | 1528.7 |
| RH-9505 | 38.40 | 41.95 | 40.18 | 36.18 | 39.38 | 37.78 | 5.29 | 8.90 | 7.10 | 3.77 | 1.39 | 2.58 | 1466.0 | 1696.7 | 1581.4 |
| RH-9510 | 46.62 | - | 46.62 | 37.99 | - | 37.99 | 10.52 | - | 10.52 | 2.31 | - | 2.31 | 1432.4 | - | 1432.4 |
| RH-9512 | 32.10 | 39.42 | 35.76 | 46.48 | 39.88 | 43.18 | 4.84 | 7.17 | 6.01 | 3.80 | 1.39 | 2.60 | 1455.8 | 1462.4 | 1459.1 |
| RH-9610 | 43.62 | - | 43.62 | 40.60 | - | 40.60 | 4.16 | - | 4.16 | 4.41 | - | 4.41 | 1388.8 | - | 1388.8 |
| RH-9707 | 41.77 | 41.81 | 41.79 | 39.75 | 40.10 | 39.93 | 7.59 | 6.21 | 6.95 | 4.28 | 4.23 | 4.26 | 1644.4 | 1964.1 | 1804.3 |
| LSD P=0.05) | 5.01 | NS | | 2.87 | NS | | 1.52 | 1.73 | | 0.89 | 0.54 | | 100.13 | 116.1 | |
| Nitrogen levels (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 46.47 | 54.13 | 50.30 | 38.54 | 32.07 | 35.31 | 7.55 | 10.19 | 8.87 | 4.62 | 2.55 | 3.59 | 912.8 | 678.3 | 795.6 |
| 60 | 41.21 | 38.12 | 39.67 | 38.52 | 40.87 | 39.70 | 6.12 | 7.73 | 6.93 | 3.32 | 1.77 | 2.55 | 1501.6 | 1745.8 | 1623.7 |
| 80 | 37.38 | 37.83 | 37.61 | 40.26 | 40.55 | 40.41 | 6.48 | 6.61 | 6.55 | 2.79 | 1.70 | 2.25 | 1769.9 | 2158.4 | 1964.2 |
| 100 | 37.55 | 37.77 | 37.66 | 41.48 | 42.58 | 42.03 | 5.80 | 5.23 | 5.52 | 2.44 | 1.59 | 2.02 | 17843.3 | 2307.6 | 2046.0 |
| LSD (P=0.05) | 3.08 | 3.61 | | NS | 3.87 | | NS | 1.73 | | 0.72 | 0.38 | | 159.59 | 211.2 | |